

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/762,699 | 05/10/2001 | Bernd-Georg Pietras | MRKS/0029 | 8491 | |
| 7590 06/04/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| William B Patterson | | | OMGBA, ESSAMA | | |
| Thomason Mose Suite 1500 | er & Patterson | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3040 Post Oak I | Boulevard | 3726 | | | |
| Houston, TX | 77056 | DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Appli | cation No. | Applicant(s) | - V | | |
| | | 09/76 | 62,699 | PIETRAS, BERN | D-GEORG | | |
| | | Exam | niner | Art Unit | | | |
| | _ | | ma Omgba | 3726 | | | |
| TI Period for Re | ne MAILING DATE of this commun eply | nication appears of | n the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence a | idress | | |
| THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (i - If the perior - If NO perior - Failure to i Any reply i | FENED STATUTORY PERIOD F LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN s of time may be available under the provisions 3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr of for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 of for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within th atutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause th | no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MOI e application to become A | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ∮y. xommunication. | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Res | sponsive to communication(s) file | ed on <u>15 March 2</u> | <u>004</u> . | | | | |
| · | 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition (| of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4a) 5)⊠ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)∐ Cla | im(s) <u>1-3,5-9 and 11-26</u> is/are poor of the above claim(s) is/a im(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed. im(s) <u>1-3,5-9,11-24 and 26</u> is/are im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restrict | re withdrawn fron | n consideration. | | | | |
| Application I | Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) <u></u> The | specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The | drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a) accepted o | or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | |
| Арр | licant may not request that any obje | ction to the drawing | ı(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| • | placement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to | | | | | | |
| Priority unde | er 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) | Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority | documents have documents have of the priority document Bureau (PCT | been received. been received in A tuments have beer Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National | Stage | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| | References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I | PTO-048\ | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | |
| 3) Informatio | Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (ν n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or s)/Mail Date | | | nformal Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/762,699

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a "mud pipe" (fluid conduit) between a plate and a supporting member, does not reasonably provide enablement for "a fluid conduit for fluid communication between the top drive and the tubular gripping apparatus". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Applicant has substituted the phrase "fluid conduit" for the phrase "mud pipe" as originally claimed in claims 7 and 8, then if "fluid conduit" is equivalent to "mud pipe" as understood by the examiner, the fluid conduit does not allow for fluid communication between the top drive and the tubular gripping apparatus.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear whether the "fluid conduit" in claim 21 is the same claimed in claims 7 and 8, which was formerly claimed as "mud pipe".

Application/Control Number: 09/762,699

Art Unit: 3726

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 12-16, 20-24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boyadjieff (US Patent 4,625,796).

With regards to claims 1 and 2, Boyadjieff discloses an apparatus for facilitating the connection of tubulars using a top drive 19, the apparatus comprising a plate 44 attachable to the top drive, a supporting member 84 for supporting a tool 42 and a motive member (158, 121, 154) for allowing substantially horizontal and vertical movements of the supporting member, see column 1, lines 36-68, column 2, lines 1-9, column 10, lines 11-64 and figures 8, 10, 11 and 14.

For claim 3, see column 8, lines 27-51.

For claim 5, see column 7, lines 16-23.

For claim 6, see column 5, lines 34-38.

For claims 7 and 8, element 49 in figure 2 represents a fluid conduit that rotates and is movable.

For claims 12 and 13, see column 6, lines 1-37.

For claims 14 and 16, Boyadjieff discloses a method for facilitating the connection of tubulars using a top drive 19, the method comprising attaching a toll 42 to the top drive using a supporting member 84 and adjusting the supporting member to cause the tool

Application/Control Number: 09/762,699

Art Unit: 3726

to be displaced horizontally relative to the top drive, see column 1, lines 36-68, column 2, lines 1-9 and column 10, lines 11-64.

For claim 15, see column 9, lines 6-23.

For claim 20, Boyadjieff discloses a top drive system for connecting tubulars comprising a top drive 19, a tubular gripping apparatus 84 and a structural intermediate operatively coupling the tubular gripping apparatus to the top drive wherein the structural intermediate is adapted to allow the tubular gripping apparatus to move horizontally relative to the top drive, see column 1, lines 36-68, column 2, lines 1-9, column 2, lines 11-64 and figures 8, 10, 11 and 14.

For claim 21, element 49 in figure 2 represents a fluid conduit.

For claims 22 and 23, see column 8, lines 24-51.

For claim 24, see figures 10, 11 and 14.

For claim 26, see figure 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 9, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyadjieff.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,699 Page 5

Art Unit: 3726

For claims 9 and 11, Applicant should note that using a hydraulic motor as a supporting member or having the supporting member be integral with the tool is a matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or unexpected results obtained in using a hydraulic motor for the supporting member or having the support member be integral with the tool versus the arrangement taught by Boyadjieff.

For claim 19, Applicant should note that tools for engaging tubular members are old and well known in the art and it would have been within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected appropriate tools to engage the tubular members.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 25 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,699 Page 6

Art Unit: 3726

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (703) 305-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUIJBA

eo May 31, 2004